

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/768,196	01/22/2001	Ronald J. Lebel	047711-0221	1919
7590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER		
TED R. RITTMASTER			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
FOLEY & LAR	RDNER			
SUITE 3500		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST			3763	
LOS ANGELES	S CA 90067-3021			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{O}			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/768,196	LEBEL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew F DeSanto	3763				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	SS			
A SHO THE M - Extens after S - if the p - if NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELATIONS OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recrid for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staply received by the Office later than three months after the may patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty (fod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	R December 2004					
· —		his action is non-final.					
3)□ \$,—						
	n of Claims	, .					
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>6-28</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6-28</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.					
Applicatio	n Papers						
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square a	accepted or b) objected to by	/ the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	• , ,	` ,				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con he oath or declaration is objected to by the	,		` '			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the papplication for a least content of the pappli	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(:	·	0 □ l=t==±== 0	mm av. (DTO 412)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	<u>')</u>			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 6-9, 12-16, 18, 19, and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Causey, III et al. (USPN 6,641,533).

Causey, III et al. discloses a MD electronic control circuitry, that further comprises at least one MD telemetry system, and at least one MD processor that controls, at least in part, operation of the MD telemetry system and operation of the medical device, wherein the medical device is configured to provide a treatment to a body of a patient or to monitor a selected state of the body; and b) a communication device (CD) comprising CD electronic control circuitry that further comprises at least one CD telemetry system and at least one CD processor that controls, at least in part, operation of the CD telemetry system and operation of the communication device, wherein the CD telemetry system sends messages to or receives messages from the MD telemetry system (Figures 2, 5, 7, 22, 24 and entire reference).

3. Claims 12 – 14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saltzstein et al. (USPN 5,931,791).

Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Saltzstein et al. discloses a MD electronic control circuitry, that further comprises at least one MD telemetry system, and at least one MD processor that controls, at least in part, operation of the MD telemetry system and operation of the medical device, wherein the medical device is configured to provide a treatment to a body of a patient or to monitor a selected state of the body; and b) a communication device (CD) comprising CD electronic control circuitry that further comprises at least one CD telemetry system and at least one CD processor that controls, at least in part, operation of the CD telemetry system and operation of the communication device, wherein the CD telemetry system sends messages to or receives messages from the MD telemetry system (Figures 1-6 and entire reference).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3763

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 6 - 10, and 12 – 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tune et al. USPN 5,630,710, and further in view of Goedeke (USPN 5,904,708).

Tune et al. discloses a medical system, comprising an ambulatory medical device (MD) [Ref. # 10] comprising MD electronic control circuitry (546) that further comprises at least one MD telemetry system (562, 564, 566) and at least one MD processor (542) that controls, at least in part, operation of the MD telemetry system and operation of the medical device, wherein the medical device is configured to provide a treatment to a body of a patient or to monitor a selected state of the body; and b) a communication device (CD) [Ref. # 952] comprising CD electronic control circuitry that further comprises at least one CD telemetry system and at least one CD processor that controls, at least in part, operation of the CD telemetry system and operation of the communication device, wherein the CD telemetry system sends messages to or receives messages from the MD telemetry system, wherein the medical device is comprises an infusion pump (10), and wherein the CD display device is controlled to show a plurality of infusion parameters simultaneously, and wherein a first portion of the MD telemetry system is incorporated into the MD processor and a second portion of the MD telemetry system is external to the MD processor, or wherein a first portion of the CD telemetry system is incorporated into the CD processor and a second portion of the CD telemetry system is external to the CD processor, wherein (1) the MD electronic

Art Unit: 3763

control circuitry comprises at least one external MD functional module, other than the second portion of the MD telemetry system, that is external to the MD processor, (2) the CD electronic control circuitry comprises at least one external CD functional module, other than the second portion of the CD telemetry system, that is external to the CD processor, (3) the MD processor comprises an internal MD CPU and at least one other internal MD functional module, or (4) the CD processor comprises an internal CD CPU and at least one other internal CD functional module. (Figures 2,25-30,32-41, and entire reference).

Tune et al. also discloses the communication device with a CD display controlled by at least one CD processor for providing visual feedback to the patient, and wherein the feedback comprises a display of the quantity of a consumable estimated to be remaining in the system (512), wherein the consumable is a drug, and where the medical device wherein infusion parameters can be selected, and where the patient can program (28) there own options into the pump. (Column 3, lines 29-47), but fails to disclose wherein the telemetry device uses RF signals.

Goedeke discloses the use of an implantable pump with telemetry components, wherein the telemetry used is RF telemetry.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosed invention of Tune et al. with the teachings of Goedeke because it is well known to use RF telemetry with implantable medical devices or any medical devices that communicate, through telemetry, as stated in the entire reference of Goedeke (See Column 1, lines 40 to Column 2, line 6, as well as entire reference).

Art Unit: 3763

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Tune et al. with Goedeke to obtain the invention as specified in claims 6-10, and 12-15.

4. Claims 6-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Causey, III et al. (USPN 6,641,533).

Causey, III et al. discloses the claimed invention but fails to disclose a display screen that displays the drug estimated to be remaining in a reservoir, the batter power remaining, the time-of-day indicator and finally the battery indicator.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosed invention of Causey, III et al. to include these display options because it is well known in the medical field and pump art to incorporate these options when dealing with a display on a pump and/or remote device controlling the pump to make the overall operating procedure by the patient or medical personnel easier. (This can be seen in the other references used in the office action [Tune et al., Goedeke, and Er])

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tune et al. with Goedeke as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Er (USPN 6185461).

Tune et al. in combination with Goedeke disclosed the claimed invention except wherein the consumable is either (1) battery power remained in a replaceable CD battery in the communication device and a voltage level on the CD battery is graphically depicted with a desired resolution, or (2) battery power remaining in an MD battery in

the medical device and a voltage level on the battery is graphically depicted with a desired resolution.

Er discloses a controlled system where the display, displays the battery data and battery longevity estimate graph (Figure 1 and 2 and entire reference).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art to combine Tune et al. and Goedeke medical infusion device with Er replacement time indicator device and display, because according to Er, it is highly desirable to predict when a battery will failure so as to make arrangements for the replacement battery. (Column 2, lines 1-9).

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-28 have been considered but are not persuasive.
- 7. The applicant argues one point, and that is that none of the prior art: discloses a CD device that enables and disables at least one patient programmable option at different times such that the option is no longer displayed.
- 8. The examiner disagrees with the applicant because Causey III, et al. discloses that feature in Column 11, lines 28-48, Column 14, lines 8-37, and Column 21, lines 9-21.
- 9. The examiner would like to draw the attention of the applicant to the fact that since a PDA interface is being used once the icons are disabled they are no longer displayed as options on the main menu screen. This is well known in the graphic interface art. A reference can be supplied if need.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,196 Page 8

Art Unit: 3763

10. The examiner would also like to note, that another interpretation of the claim could be that the on/off button would disable one of the patients options when the user shuts off the communication device. The option would no longer be displayed.

11. The examiner holds his rejection because of his interpretation of the claims that are explained in the above paragraphs.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 3763

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763 April 4, 2005

> NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERMANER TVI PROVENCER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700